UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,883	03/29/2004	Hideki Koyama	2018-869	1086
	7590 06/07/2007 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR ARLINGTON, VA 22203			BERTHEAUD, PETER JOHN	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22203		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3746	-
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/07/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

				Q [']		
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•		
		10/810,883	KOYAMA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Peter J. Bertheaud	3746			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 M	arch 2004.				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
. 3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			•		
Applicati	ion Papers					
_ 10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on 29 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ a)∣	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/04, 1/19/07, 4/2/07.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/810,883 Page 2

Art Unit: 3746

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Regarding the following prior art rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102: The effective filing date of the present application is 3/29/2004 with priority back to 3/28/2003. The application has not been accorded to the effective filing date of 3/28/2003, but would if the foreign priority was perfected by filing a certified translation. If perfected, the prior art reference would still be applied as a 102(e) reference.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 3 recites the limitation "round corner" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Application/Control Number: 10/810,883

Art Unit: 3746

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Page 3

5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b/e) as being anticipated by Fischer 6,402,460.

Fischer discloses a fuel pump assembly comprising a suction-side cover 34 having a fuel inlet, an exhaust-side cover 18 having a fuel outlet 20, an electric motor 30 disposed between said suction-side cover 34 and said exhaust-side cover 20, a pump casing 36 disposed between said electric motor 30 and said suction-side cover 34, a passage member having a pressure boosting passage 40, 42 disposed between said suction-side cover 34 and said pump casing 36, an impeller 28 disposed in the pressure boosting passage to be rotated by said electric motor 30, and a cylindrical housing 38 for accommodating said suction-side cover 34, said pump casing 36 and said impeller 28, wherein said suction-side cover 34 comprises a resinous member (see col. 3, lines 24-32) that has a shoulder (see rounded portion of 34 at bottom of Fig. 1) having a round surface in contact with a portion of said cylindrical housing 38 that is clinched at said shoulder. Fischer further discloses that the round surface is disposed at a peripheral surface of said suction-side cover 34 away from said pump casing 36.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer 6,402,460.

Fischer discloses the general conditions of the claimed invention except for the round surface having a radius of 2mm or longer, or the shoulder having a thickness between 4mm and 5mm. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the shoulder within these parameters, since the claimed values are merely an optimum or workable range. It has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955) (see MPEP 2144.05 II. A - Optimization Within Prior Art Conditions or Through Routine Experimentation). Furthermore, Fischer teaches that the portion of said cylindrical housing that is clinched has a surface that could be formed by a punch that has a concave pressing surface.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter J. Bertheaud whose telephone number is (571) 272-3476. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571) 272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,883

Art Unit: 3746

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

For Bulent PJB 6/4/07

ANTHONY D. STASHICK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**